# Detecting Drought and Vegetation Health with Remote Sensing

## Towards well-defined regional to global Risks and Impacts

Tobias Landmann\*, Maximilian Schwarz\*, Natalie Cornish\* \*RSS - Remote Sensing Solutions, Munich, Germany, <u>landmann@rssgmbh.de</u>





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Characterizing drought risk and impact

#### Background



Drought risks and **follow on impacts** are **cumulative drought effects** based on vegetation stress and hazard conditions (usually measurable as deviations from normal) & socio-ecological settings.



#### Background



- In the RS for development framework (below), RS-based risk and vulnerability monitoring routines are suitable for decision making
  - Risk and priority profiles (areas) can be effectively identified, used for EW or scenario assessments



© Remote Sensing Solutions GmbH 2019

## Drought assessment framework introduced herein



- We will show the **possibilities** of using RS-based indicators for better 'Risk', 'hazard' and 'vulnerability'\* assessments, re. **agriculture and** rangelands
  - Results can be integrated to understand impact pathways, instigate better decisions
  - Novelty: localized information that is scalable, while various drought components are integrated



## Drought assessment framework introduced herein



- Multi data, multi scale approach & use of localized & spatial explicit (pixel-based) yet globally available data for robust upscaling
- E.g: (globally available<sup>1</sup>; spatially explicit<sup>2</sup>; localized<sup>3</sup>)

Risk & vulnerability	Hazard
Livestock density <sup>1</sup> Irrigation versus rainfed <sup>2,3</sup>	FAO yield stats per country <sup>1</sup> = RS, RF anomalies <sup>1, 2</sup>
GDP <sup>1</sup> Population density <sup>1</sup>	L

## Specific Methodology – Hazard method, RS processing



• Global time-series RS-based variables used for logistic modelling- Hazard



\* Precipitation: SPI, Surface reflectance: NDII, NDVI

## Specific Methodology – Hazard method, RS processing



- Global time-series RS-based variables processing -> index anomalies of precipitation, NDII, NDVI, LST and albedo
- Aggregation of land use classes (rangelands and croplands)
- Create Training data set based on drought years gained from yield anomalies (FAO data)
- Logistic regression model (RS-based variables as predictors) to predict drought probability (drought hazard)
- Cross-Verification: drought models, regional climate patterns, drought reports, food security classification data

#### Specific Methodology for vulnerability assessmentirrigation versus rainfed crops



- Need for better than just 'cropland extends' information to ascertain drought risk, vulnerability and impact
- Exploit wealth of explicit information from time-series data



SC- Single crop (Africa) DC- double crop (Africa)

Xiong et al., 2017

#### Specific Methodology for vulnerability assessmentirrigation versus rainfed crops



- Spatially explicit farming systems (irrigated vs. rainfed)
- Reference data using GE (left) for machine learning model



#### Specific Methodology for vulnerability assessment– irrigation versus rainfed crops



• Farming systems result for Zimbabwe (localized, spatially explicit)



### Vulnerability assessment– Result for S. Africa & Zim.





- Excludes urban areas
- Uses: farming systems, livestock & population density, etc



- Risk: function of vulnerability and hazard
- Agricultural crops and grassland and scrubland, growing seasons December to March 2013/14 (left) and 2015/16 (drought year) (right)



## Integrative results for Zimbabwe in view of impact



- Risk model is measurable impact indicator that renders effective information on <u>where to prioritize</u> (maximize effects)
- Integrative & localized results e.g. for Zimbabwe:
  - Hazard farming systems vulnerability Risk



remote sensing solutions



remote sensing solutions



<sup>©</sup> Remote Sensing Solutions GmbH 2019

remote sensing Solutions

28°0'0"E 26°0'0"E 30°0'0"E 32°0'0"E 16°0'0"S 16°0'0"S Rainfed and Zambia irrigated agriculture in percent (as an aidiget Name important 18°0'0"S Harare vulnerability aspect) Mutare Gweru 20°0'0"S 20°0'0"S Masvingo Bulawayo Mozambique Botswana 22°0'0"S 22°0'0"S 200 50 100 150 km South Africa 30°0'0"E 32°0'0"E 26°0'0"E 28°0'0"E 100 % Percentage of Irrigation 0 %

© Remote Sensing Solutions GmbH 2019



28°0'0"E 26°0'0"E 30°0'0"E 32°0'0"E 16°0'0"S 16°0'0"S Drought Zambia vulnerability 0 using animal density, GDP, farming 18°0'0"S Namibia 18°0'0"S Harare 3 systems R Mutare Gweru 20°0'0"S 20°0'0"S Masvingo Bulawayo 0 Mozambique \$ Botswana 22°0'0"S 22°0'0"S 150 200 50 100 0 km South Africa 30°0'0"E 32°0'0"E 26°0'0"E 28°0'0"E High **Drought Vulnerabilty** Low

© Remote Sensing Solutions GmbH 2019





<sup>©</sup> Remote Sensing Solutions GmbH 2019



# Thank you!

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Globe GRoW - GlobeDrought Drought Characterizing drought risk and impact







Dingolfinger Str. 9 D-81673 München



franke@rssgmbh.de



www.rssgmbh.de